

REMARKS


By an Office Action dated February 23, 1990 in the file of the above-identified patent application, the Examiner indicated that claims 2 - 16 and 22 were allowed. The Examiner further rejected claims 17 - 21 and 23. The rejected claims have been withdrawn without prejudice above, subject to the continuance of those claims in a continuation application, to procure allowance of the claims which have been indicated as allowable.

Accordingly, a formal notice of allowance of the above-identified patent application is respectfully requested.

In the interests of making the file of this patent application free of ambiguity, it should be noted that in the Office Action, the Examiner referred to the arguments in the appellant's brief regarding a lack of regeneration from transformed cotton "roots" (sic). It should be noted that the arguments in the brief were directed to the lack of transformation from cotton "shoots." It is believed that the statement in the Office Action is a clerical error, and this statement is only submitted so as to make that unambiguous.

Wherefore, a formal notice of allowance is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Nicholas J. Seay, Reg. No. 27386
Attorney for Applicant
Quarles & Brady
First Wisconsin Plaza
P. O. Box 2113
Madison, WI 53701-2113

(608) 251-5000

NJS16/20